

Janice Wolk Grenadier (JWG)
Certified ADA Advocate & Mediator
Founder: JudicialPedia.com
15 W. Spring St.,
Alexandria, VA 22301
202-368-7178
ADAadvocate4@gmail.com

May 7, 2025

Honorable Gregory Wells, *Chief Judge*
Appellate Court of Maryland
175 Main Street
Prince Frederick, MD 20678
410- 260- 1517

Honorable Audrey J.S.Carion, *Chief Judge*
Baltimore City Court
Cummings Courthouse
111 N. Calvert Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

Honorable Michael J. Finifter, *Chief Judge*
Baltimore County Court,
401 Bosley Ave.,
Towson, MD 21204
(410) 887-2139
circuitcourt@baltimorecountymd.gov

Honorable Donna Schaeffe, *Chief Judge*
Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County
8 Church Circle
Annapolis, MD 21401

Attorney General Anthony Brown
200 St. Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202
410-576-6300

Judicial Commission on Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090
410-694-9380
commjd@mdcourts.gov
www.mdcourts.gov/cjd

Hunter Kasky
CPS Baltimore County, Maryland
1 443-938-8746
hunter.kasky@maryland.gov

RE: Case No. 24-D-10-2538 Baltimore City Circuit Court Sarah Hornbeck v Jeffrey Reichert
Case No. C-02-FM-20-1706 Anne Arundel County Circuit Court Sarah Hornbeck v. Jeffrey Reichert
Case No. C-03-FM-24-007348 Baltimore County Circuit Court Grant L. Reichert - Emancipation
Case No. C-02-FM-25-000493 Anne Arundel County Grant L. Reichert - Emancipation

RE: The Fathers, right to his son Grant's upbringing, education, medical care, and overall well-being, appears to have been violated. It also appears the court misled Grant and ignored his wishes presented to the court. It also appears that the court has punished Grant for his feelings. That the appearance is the court has ignored the best interest of both parents by favoring one over the other openly and without proper investigations by neutral parties

RE: Certified ADA Advocate JWG is asking for Investigation by a Special Master / Master to ensure for the following

1. The Jurisdiction of Anne Arundel is proper, as no party lives in Anne Arundel County and hasn't for years. (the court must have several factors that must be considered, including the child's residence or domicile, the physical location of the child. The child and parents have not lived in Anne Arundel County for years)
 - a. Mother lives in Baltimore County, Maryland for approximately 5 years
 - b. Father lives in Chesapeake, Virginia for 5 years
2. The appearance is Grant's health is "NOT" being addressed properly with the proper therapy for the amount of discord he is living through
3. The appearance is that Grant does not have any type of representation, no voice that is being listened to.
4. A video by an award winning journalist & investigator where Grant was interviewed and his opinion could be heard appears to be ignored. The transcript is attached Exhibit 1
5. The appearance is this court has chosen a "winner & loser" in this divorce case instead of what is fair and just in the best interest of this child.

Dear: Honorable Gregory Wells, Honorable Audrey J.S. Carion, Honorable Michael J. Finifter, Honorable Donna Schaeffe, Attorney General Anthony Brown, and Ms. Hunter Kasky,

At the moment the above cases are closed, and no upcoming hearings with Anne Arundel court making all decisions in the Judge's Chambers on or around March 18, 2025 Order, Exhibit 8, by all appearance after a letter from Ms. Hornbecks lawyer.

Exhibit 6 dated February 12, 2025, Brennan McCarthy & Associates: Brennan C. McCarthy

Exhibit 7 is the response from Mr. Reicherts lawyer asked to negotiate a reasonable situation without it going to the Judge. Ex Parte Communications goes against the Professional Code of Ethics and the Judicial Canons, violating one's Due Process. James E. Crawford Jr. & Associates: Alexis Sisolak

My name is Janice Wolk Grenadier, I am a Certified ADA Advocate & Mediator. Exhibit 9 I have spent the last several weeks going through cases, which there are many between Mr. Reichert & Ms. Hornbeck. My observation is not about them. It is about Grant Reichert and the questionable court "Orders" that have been ignored and or have been written to give one parent over the other the opportunity to over Master Grant without his best interests being considered.

Grant's wishes have been spoken and ignored. You can read in the Transcripts: Exhibit 1 & 2 I find it very disturbing that there are "Orders" For him to have counsel & therapy Exhibits 3 & 4 that are being ignored.

The Supremacy Clause is a clause in the U.S. Constitution that establishes federal law as the supreme law of the land. It's found in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution.

- The Supremacy Clause protects the federal government from state attacks and regulations.
- It ensures that the federal government is superior to the states.
- **It requires that judges in every state follow the Constitution** and federal laws, even if their state's laws contradict them.
- **It requires all federal and state officials to swear an oath of allegiance to the Constitution**

“Equal Justice for All”: is the idea that everyone should have equal access to justice under the law, regardless of their status, income, or identity. It's a core value in American society.

Equal Protection Clause

The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment prevents states from denying equal protection of the law to any person within their jurisdiction

As an ADA Advocate I try to protect all of their Constitutional Rights, which includes our children's constitutional rights.

Grant Reichert has had a turbulent childhood according to the court documents and transcripts. Grant was born on November 7, 2009 and will be turning 16 this year. The Judge according to the transcripts spoke with him in 2022 and ignored what he wanted.

The Judge making decisions in Judges chambers, after being given ex-parte letters by the lawyers and not giving Grant a voice, and not allowing or ensuring him to have a best interest lawyer, along with not enforcing the Order for Therapy needs to be reviewed.

Today the world with the internet has changed our children and they are more advanced with their knowledge of their rights. Several children when they are turning 18 are suing those that ruined their childhood, ignored their needs.

In Maryland, a child's preference regarding which parent they want to live with is taken into consideration by the court but is not the sole determining factor in custody matters.

What happens when a Child expresses his interest to a Judge and many others and is ignored?

1. **Feelings of Rejection:** The child may feel like their opinions and feelings are not valued or important, leading to feelings of rejection and low self-worth.
2. **Sense of Powerlessness:** Being ignored by the judge can make the child feel powerless and like they have no control over their own life and decisions.
3. **Trust Issues:** The child's trust in authority figures, such as judges and parents, may be undermined if their wishes are dismissed, leading to trust issues in future relationships.
4. **Emotional Distress:** The child may experience heightened emotional distress, such as anxiety, depression, or frustration, due to feeling unheard and invalidated.

5. Impact on Parental Relationships: Ignoring the child's wishes can strain their relationship with the parent they wanted to live with, as well as with the parent they are required to live with, leading to further emotional turmoil.

6. Long-term Effects: These experiences can have long-lasting effects on the child's mental health and well-being, potentially affecting their self-esteem, future relationships, and ability to trust others.

Jurisprudence calls for "*Fair Play*" the facts to determine the outcome of the case. The Transcripts, the filing - the many other PO's do not show that the law was interpreted or an evaluation of the evidence was used in the Orders. Judges should strive to ensure that all parties have a fair opportunity to present their case and that the decisions are based on the evidence and the law, not personal bias or prejudice.

For the above reasons and the attached documents I believe there should be a Master or Special Master assigned to:

1. Ensure Grant has legal representation
2. Ensure Grant has access to therapy to speak with a person of his choosing whom he feels comfortable with
3. A review of this case and how such outcomes and how Anne Arundel has Jurisdiction when no one is living in that county.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to me with any questions. There is some disturbing information that I have chosen not to put in this letter due to it not being a Sealed document.

Sincerely

Janice Wolk Grenadier¹

cc.

Brennan McCarthy & Association	Email bmccarthy@brennanmccarthy.com
James E. Crawford, Jr. & Associates	Email alexis.sisolax@jamescrawfordlaw.com
Sarah Hornbeck	Email sarah.hornbeck@gmail.com
Grant L. Reichert	Email TBD
Jeffrey W. Reichert	Email jeff@reliancelegalllc.com

¹ CERTIFIED ADA ADVOCATE DISCLOSURE

The ADA Advocate is not a lawyer and this should not be construed as Legal Advice or Legal Representation - but, a Natural Attempt at ensuring both sides are presented to the court and considered for the BEST INTEREST OF JUSTICE

- An ADA Advocate can not ensure the outcome of a case and or her services, they can only do their best to create a fairer outcome.
- Certified ADA Advocate Janice Wolk Grenadier (JWG) can notify a Court that she will be working as an ADA Advocate due to the Legal Abuse by all appearance of the documents reviewed in the Clerks office of the Court with the finding of the questionable behavior of the Judge, the Court and or others.
- No one is immune from violations of the ADA, this includes judges.
- This information that is entered into the Record can suggest an advocate is appropriate. With "The Appearance of Justice is Just as Important as Justice itself" The State and the United States Constitution are our strongest advocates..

All suggestions are just suggestions, and observations are not legal advice from JWG Advocate

EXHIBITS / Attachements

Exhibit #	Description	NO of Pages
1 - Grants words	Interview of Grand with Wayne Dolcefino Can be listened to: https://youtu.be/FTWtPAgW3SU?si=gQJgvZxXWErQDD-4	11
2	Transcript of Official Proceedings February 2, 2022 Page 173 - 185	16
3	Order Appointing Counsel for Grant	5
4	Order for Therapy for Grant	3
5	Consent Order October 28, 2019 - Baltimore County	13
6	Letter Brennan McCarthy & Associates sent to the Judge February 12, 2025	2
7	Letter February 13, 2025 from The Law Office of James E. Crawford Jr. & Assoc	3
8	Order by Judge Elizabeth Morris with no hearing on March 18, 2025	5
9	Information on ADA Advocate	3